Replies to supplementary questions raised by Finance Committee Members in examining the Estimates of Expenditure 2005-06

Controlling Officer: Director of Administration and Development Session No. : 12

File name: S-SJ-e1.doc

Reply Serial No.*	Question Serial No.		Head	Programme
S-SJ01	SV25	NG Margaret	92	(1) Prosecutions

Examination of Estimates of Expenditure 2005-06 CONTROLLING OFFICER'S REPLY TO SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION

Reply Serial No.

S-SJ01

Question Serial No.

SV25

<u>Head</u>: 92 Department of Justice <u>Subhead</u> (No. & title):

<u>Programme</u>: (1) Prosecutions

<u>Controlling Officer</u>: Director of Administration and Development

Question:

The Director of Administration and Development to provide information on the staffing and expenditure of the Court Prosecutor (CP) grade in 2004-05 as compared with 2003-04 including the work done by CPs in relation to departmental summons.

Asked by: Hon. NG Margaret

Reply:

The establishment and strength of the CP grade in 2003-04 and 2004-05 are provided below:

	Establishment as at		Strength as at		Vacancies as at	
	31.3.04	31.3.05	31.3.04	31.3.05	31.3.04	31.3.05
Chief Court Prosecutor	2	2	2	2	0	0
Senior Court Prosecutor I	8	8	8	8	0	0
Senior Court Prosecutor II	28	28	26	28	2	0
Court Prosecutor	74	70	70	63	4	7
Total	112	108	106	101	6	7

The CPs provide a cost-effective service to the community. The average cost, including the accommodation cost, of a CP conducting prosecutions is about \$2,622 per court day in 2004 (\$3,079 in 2003). This compares favourably with the fees for counsel prosecuting on general fiat which is \$5,430 per day. These calculations do not include those CP grade officers who are on administration and supervisory duties (the officers involved in these duties include the two Chief Court Prosecutors, all Senior Court Prosecutor I and some of the Senior Court Prosecutor II). This is because even if prosecution work is briefed out, there is still a need for senior officers in the CP grade to manage the cases in relation to both charges and summonses, to provide instructions to counsel, to liaise with police and departmental prosecutors and to manage the day-to-day deployment of manpower at the magistracies.

Whilst a number of Government Departments and authorities undertake their own prosecutions, the court prosecutors do, from time to time, prosecute cases on behalf of the following departments and authorities:

Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department Customs and Excise Department Education and Manpower Bureau Fire Services Department Food and Environmental Hygiene Department Department of Health **Highways Department** Home Affairs Department **Housing Department Immigration Department** Leisure and Cultural Services Department Mandatory Provident Fund Schemes Authority Rating and Valuation Department Social Welfare Department Office of the Telecommunications Authority Television and Entertainment Licensing Authority

Statistics are not maintained for the actual number of departmental summons cases the CPs prosecuted.

Name in block letters

Post Title
Date

Miss Annie Tam

Director of Administration
and Development

18 April 2005